

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/800,905	03/15/2004	Dana Alexa Totir	08935-270001	1479	
26161 7590 03/08/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON PC					
P.O. BOX 1022	2	ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND			
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1745		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31.0	AVS	03/08/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				V		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/800,905	TOTIR ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Raymond Alejandro	1745			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOMINIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirce will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03/15	<u>5/04</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	• •	•		is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			,		
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-54 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.				
	ion Papers					
9) <u> </u> 10) <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u>□</u> a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document: Certified copies of the priority document: Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/800,905 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-46, drawn to electrochemical cells, classified in class 429, subclass 188
 or 324.
 - II. Claims 47-54, drawn to a method of inhibiting aluminum corrosion in an electrochemical cell, classified in class 29, subclass 623.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product, for example, it can be used to make an electrochemical cell comprising more than one salt electrolyte or an electrochemical cell wherein the Al-surface is provided by the cell case.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the

Application/Control Number: 10/800,905

Art Unit: 1745

inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In addition, further restriction is necessary. Thus, applicant must elect one (1) of the above group and one (1) of the species below.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1: the electrochemical cell comprising <u>a single salt</u> and <u>an Al-surface</u> (e.g. see claims 1-2, 5, 7, 9-16 and 31-36);

Species 2: the electrochemical cell comprising <u>first and second salts</u> and <u>Al-surface</u> (e.g. see claims 3-4 and 17-28);

Species 3: the electrochemical cell comprising <u>first</u>, <u>second and third salts</u> and <u>Al-surface</u> (e.g. see claims 29-30);

Species 4: the electrochemical cell comprising <u>a single salt</u> and <u>two pieces of Al</u> (e.g. see claim 6, 8, 37-38);

Species 5: the electrochemical cell comprising a single salt without including an Al surface (e.g. see claims 39-46).

The species are independent or distinct because they encompass mutually exclusive embodiments not overlapping in scope.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Art Unit: 1745

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1745

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Alejandro whose telephone number is (571) 272-1282. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00 am - 6:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raymond Alejandro Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

RAYMOND ALEJANDHO PRIMARY EXAMINER